App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1928.
(Draupadi)

File No. 3

Nos.

Later References
Dmg 347-28-1-6

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for December 1929

SUBJECT

Appeal petition of Tapa Muniamma re service Inam lands may be distributed between her sister and herself.

Dr 1318 dated 5
31-12-1929.

To the Dmg. Comr

There are no reason to interfere with the concurrent orders of the Dy Comr & the Dmg. Comr in this appeal suggested.

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1928.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Miscl.)

NOTES.

File No. 364/1928

FILE HEADING.

Jafe Service inam lands awarded to Sri Chandra Sekara Swamy and Sri Venugopala Swamy temples in Srinivasapura town.

FROM M/s V Bala Krishnayya. B.A & B.L - advocate for Smt Jagamuniamma

No. Petition Dated 15.4.29 Received

Diary No. 1571	Enclos. 1	Spare copies —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the M.C's order declining to interfere with the D.C's order in the matter of the distribution of the said lands between her sisters and herself.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petition is duly stamped. A copy of the M.C's order appealed against has been produced.

The M.C's order is dated 12.1.29 while the appeal petition was received on 15.4.29

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 364 of 1928

Serial No.

calculating the time taken for the preparation of the Copy it will be seen that the appeal is in time

3 May a reprd with records be called for from the M. C.? Submtr for orders.

22.4.29. 1st Member 28/4

No 6583.

4 Ft - Yes 29/4

6361 24/4

6 Draft. sent for appr 25/4

5 Sanctn 25/4

7 Dsm 25/4

2 Ex

Registered cover No 10 2389 dt 27-4-29.

Ir dy all & called for reports be connected records of this office & of this Collectorate"

From the M. C. Letter no. 1761 dt 30th July 29.

Submits the report with records called for sub. sl 2

& that in the order appealed against

Govs (D) 1/5

Serial No.

explains the facts of the case
& A of L calling for the Inam
extract pertaining to Jafe Service
Lands in question in Sub-Mr

AV
WW 7/8/29.

10 Jan
7/8

4 To Mr. Chinnaya reply
C 230 M/1-2-29
Requests to ... copies of ...
an extract from the Inam ... Register
Lands
for Jafe Service &c

WW 10/8 5. Inams, Mr Inam Supdt, Letter no 58 dy
9 9 29.

Submits the Inam extract

561 W 11 This is an appeal against the
M.C's order confirming the D.C's
order directing that the appt
and respt who are sisters shall
enjoy in equal proportion as
payment of quit. rent and
cesses & fruit certain Jafe
Service Inam lands of Sri
Gopala Swamy; and Sri
Chandrasekara Swamy temples
at Srinivasapura - Jafe
Munigamma - one of the sisters
has appealed & fruit alleging
that the lands are personal

Serial No.

mean to her and that she is alone entitled to its exclusive enjoyment.

12— It is seen from the Inam Register that the lands are Devadaya left in the hands of Jaga Servants as remuneration for their Services in the temple, and that Subba, Lakshmi and others were rendering Jaga Services. Such cases are covered by the latter part of the Direction contained in para 5 of G.O. No 1560-71 Dy 10.4.1929 relative to the disposal of Jaga Service lands. As the enquiry held proved that both the Sisters were performing service at the time of the G.O. of 1909 Resp. A, it is proposed to continue the inam lands to them probably during their life-time.

Submitted 13/9/29 A

Directed, the case may be posted for hearing — 17/9/29. If the dispute appears to be one of a civil nature, the party claiming exclusive enjoyment will have to establish her claim in a proper tribunal.

WW 17/9/29

1st A may be done

1st Member 16 Yes 16/9/29

16/9/29

Appeal No. 3 of 1929-30
Notices issued
Date of hearing 26/10/29

16 SEP. 12 - 3560.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

2484/2610

Case taken up on 26th October 1929.

Respondent present: Appellant not present.

17 A report has been received to the effect that the notice could not be served upon the Appellant as she was not to be found at Srinivasapur. The Respondent states that the Appellant is living in Mysore. Case adjourned ~~to the next sitting day~~. Correct address of the Appellant has to be ascertained and fresh notices ~~have~~ to be issued to the parties.

Mml
26/10/29

Member's oral order
Adjd to 9-12-29
29/10/29. Notice issued

18 Case heard on 9.12.29. Mh
Respt Tafe Gangiamma present, and aplt was represented by Mr V. Balakrishniah—

Order

19 The appellant and the respondent are sisters. The right of rendering Tafé Service in the temples belonged to their family and the contention that the appellant alone is entitled to the benefit of the right under G.O. no ___ of ___ to the exclusion of her sister is untenable. There are therefore no reasons to interfere with the Concurrent orders of the Deputy and Muzrai Commrs and the appeal is rejected.

Mml

WD 021—GPB—30,000—1-8-28

20 Adm Mh 6/12 h 5/12/29

Serial No.	
27	[illegible]
6.	[illegible] see Mizo Comm No 1-12 of 1912-xx Stamp Rejected Appeal Stamp Court-fee are [illegible]



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF [illegible]

BANGALORE.

Revenue Appeal No. [illegible] of 28-29.

Appellant :- Tafa Munilakshmamma, daughter of Subbanna, aged 42, Hindu, care of Muniswamappa, Gare Basthi, residing at Sree Srinivasapur Town.

V S.

Respondant:- Tafa Gangojamma, daughter of Subbanna, aged 40, Hindu, residing at Sreenivasapur Town, Kolar District.

The appellant above named begs to appeal against - the Order dated 12-1-29 of The Revenue Commissioner in - Mysore, Bangalore, passed in D1, Dis, 162 / 28-29 confirming the Order of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, in N. Dis A/2 C.3050 of 26-27 dispossessing the appellant of ½ the portion of her Inam Lands bearing S.Nos. 68 and 197 of Hasba-Sreenivasapur and Amanikere respectively of Sreenivasapur-Taluk and attaching and delivering ½ the Crops thereon to the respondant, on the following amongst other grounds:-

The Facts of the Case are as follows:-

1. The lands in question were granted to the family of the appellant for rendering Tafa Service in the Temples of Sree Gopalaswami and Sri Chendrasekharaswami at Sreenivasapur. The appellant is the last person who was rendering the necessary services of the temples till about 15 years ago when the services of Devadasees were dispensed with - by Government. The Inam lands were in the possession and enjoyment of the appellant from a long time prior to the - abolishon ~~abolishment~~ of the services and for a sufficient period - thereafter, the total period of such ~~xxxxxx~~ possession and enjoyment being about 50 years in the aggregate. At the time of abolition of the said service in the temples the lands were settled as personal Inams to the appellant. The

The appellant has been paying Kandayam from the year 1900 and enjoying the property absolutely and exclusively.

2. The respondant who is reported to be a sister of the appellant was living separate, outside Sreenivasapur Taluk for the last 35 years. She was not in the family of the appellant and her father, and has absolutely no connection with the temple services or the Inam lands. On 18-12-1925 she applied to the Amildar Sreenivasapur for being recognised as a co-sharer of the Inam Lands and at her instance the crops of the Inams lands for the year 1925-26 were attached. When the appellant got notice of this claim, she produced the copy of the Inam Settlement Deed before the Amildar (now in the records) which shows the name of the appellant as the last person who rendered service and was in the enjoyment of the Inam Lands. The name of the respondant finds no place in the said Settlement Deed as the person either rendering service or as one in the enjoyment of any of the Inam lands. Thereupon the appellant's right to the possession and enjoyment for the said Inams was recognised and the crops under attachment were delivered over to the appellant entirely (vide Amildar's Order P.C. 197 dated 19-4-26)

3. When the appellant was absent from Sreenivasapur the respondant appears to have again repeated her claim to a share in the Inam Lands. The Sheikdar concerned is said to have drawn up a Mahazar to the affect that both the appellant and the respondant were rendering the service and that the Inam lands ought therefore to be shared by both of them.

4. The said Mahazar seems to have formed the basis of the Amildar's report. A Mahazar by itself forms no evidence and the assertions contained therein are not entitled to that degree of belief as is sought to be made out by the Deputy Commissioner and the Revenue Commissioner.

The so called investigation was entirly managed in the - absence of the appellant, without giving any opportunity to her either to putforth her case or to adduce evidence against the facts contained in the Mahazar. Further the said Mahazar contains two points, the first is that the - appellant and the respondant were rendering service to the Temples. To prove this fact evidence of a positive and - superior character was certainly available to the Department. One of the Records which could have been utilised with advantage in that respect is the Temple Register which could have given a definite idea regarding the person or - persons that rendered service and were in enjoyment of the emoluments thereof. The 2nd document is the Inam Settlement Deed which was produced before the Amildar and was in the records, which at any rate is positive of the appellant having rendered service and being one who was in enjoyment of the Inam Lands; and the name of the respondant is conspicuously absent therein. This formed the documentary evidence and if any oral evidence beyond these was necessary at all, the statement of the Archaks of the Temples could have served the purpose eminently well than the vague and - irresponsible assertions of some interested people who claim no right of personal knowledge of the temples or anything connected therewith. To the knowledge of the appellant the Mahazar is fraudulent and got up by the respondant in collusion with some of the village Officers. The vague assertions of irresponsible people are not entitled to any weight at all as against the available oral and documentary evidence indicated above.

5. The next point contained in the Mahazar is an opinion regarding the rights of the appellant and the respondant to the enjoyment of the Inam. This opinion is - based on no reasons and is hazarded without the least idea of the circumstances of the case and the rights of the parties thereto. The circumstances in the case as already

already stated are that the appellant is the only surviving member of the people that rendered service to the temples and enjoyed the property as seen from the Inam Settlement Deed. And the name of the respondant is to be found nowhere in the Inam Settlement Deed which is conclusive beyond doubt that that person neither enjoyed the property nor ever rendered any service to the temples. Such are the facts of the case. The Mahazar of the Sheikdar constituted ~~to~~ The entire investigation in the case and the Amildar bases his report upon such Mahazar which in its turn is confirmed by both the Deputy Commissioner and the Revenue Commissioner to the detriment of the appellant's rights.

6. Further, the vague assertions in the Mahazar which formed the basis of the orders appealed against, has given rise to various interpretations. Evidently the Deputy Commissioner understands that the lands are in the possession of both the appellant and the respondant and directs that the lands might continue in the possession of the sisters (parties in this case) and the produce attached on the subsequent occasion be distributed between them. The Amildar in carrying out this order has gone a step farther and took the responsibility into his own hands of dividing the lands into two shares by metes and bounds and delivering half the portions thereof in each to the respondant. The appellant submits that the action of the Amildar in dividing the lands and delivering to the respondant is not only unwarranted but highly arbitrary. Such action is ultra virous.

7. As stated above the respondant has not rendered any service at any time in any of the Temples for even a single day. She was never in possession and enjoyment of the lands in question nor has she at any time before the dispute arose paid Kandayam or quit-rent for the lands even for a single year. The Amildar has failed to investigage all these matters. No enquiry was in fact made of the Dharmadasees of the Temple or the Archaks rendering Puja therein or any of the resi

responsible persons of the village nor was the documentary evidence indicated above taken into consideration by the Amildar while making the report. Such is the investigation; and that investigation forms the sole ground for the decision of the lower Courts for the summary rejection of the claims of the appellant.

8. The appellant submits that the orders appealed against have operated prejudically to her rights. The appellant even now submits that (1) she is the sole surviving member who rendered service and enjoyed property before abolition of the services as [illegible] Deed. (2) The said Inam is converted to be the personal Inam of the appellant after the abolition of the services. Even assuming, but not conceding, that it still continues to be Devadaya Inam, the appellant is entitled to continue in possession and enjoyment of the same as she is the only person who is qualified for such possession and enjoyment Under Government Order No. 1560-11- Muz/ 84-05-3 dated 10-4-1909. Para 5 of the Government Order mentioned above runs thus "In order to prevent hardship to the present holders of the Inams and in fulfilment of the Promise made by the Muzrai Superintendant in 1892 Government direct that the persons at present rendering Tafa Service and enjoying the Inam or receiving other emoluments therefor do continue to enjoy such Inam and receive such emoluments during their life time without being required to render Tafa Service. In view of this direction neither the Amildar nor any other Officer could take upon himself the emtire responsibility of deciding the civil rights of the parties concerned without a full and proper investigation of all the circumstances in the case.

9. As stated above the entire enquiry and the order have been made exparte. The appellant has been considerably prejudiced by not having been afforded an opportunity to represent her case and she has been deprived of ½ the land and the produce for the last 3 years.

10. For the circumstances set-forth above the so called investigation is perfunctory and cannot be relied-upon nor does it affect the appellant's rights in any way. The respondant ought to have been directed to establish her claims in a competant court of Law.

The appellant therefore prays that the - Order of the Lower Court be set aside and the possession of the lands she is deprived of, may be ordered to be - restored to her or in the alternative the orders of the Lower Court be set aside and the whole case be remanded to be disposed of on merits after proper investigation and allowing the appellant an opportunity to adduce her own evidence.

ADVOCATE FOR

APPELLANT

Bangalore City
Dated 15th April 29.





Order of the Madras Commissioner in Mysore

Subject:— Appeal No 17 of 27-28 dated 21st May of Sofe Nanjamma of Seemavaripur Inam against the order of the Dy Coll Kolar District in the matter of distribution of Sofe Service Inam lands endowed to the temples of Sri Chandra Sekhara Swami and Sri Venugopala Swami in Seemavaripur Inam between her sister and herself.

Appellant:— Sofe Nanjamma daughter of Subbamma & Narasimhappa Sofe residing in Seemavaripur Inam

Sofe Ranganayamma daughter of Subbamma also residing in Seemavaripur Inam.

Seemavaripur a S and dy 4-35-9-8 Mar 1-25-9-0 under Srinivasapur Amanikere.

Facts of the case:— The undisputed facts were endowed to the Sofe Service in the above temples. The appellant and her sister the respondent are related to the two surviving heirs of Subbamma mentioned in the Inam

Registrar extract in respect of the Inam Lands
above referred to the respondent re-planned
to the Subadar of Greenwas-pur on 18-12-25
that thereafter the appellant had deprived
her of her interest in the Service Inam Lands
and prayed for a settlement of to her share.
The Subadar enquired into the matter and
finding that the Watan of these Lands was in
the name of the deity and they were granted
for the enjoyment of Dofe Servants for
rendering Service in the Temple, he ordered that
the produce be secured and kept in annual
and referred the question to the Inam Supdt
for verification. The Inam Supdt reported
that the Lands in question were as per entries
in the Inam Settlement Register granted for
Dofe Service and that they were in the enjoyment
of Shubha and Lakshmi at the time of Inam
Settlement and that as the original title deed
was not available, it was not possible to say
in whose name it was issued. Prior to the
receipt of this reply from the Inam Superintendent,
the Subadar had ordered the release of the

produce Sanad in favour of the appellant Nanayakkara
who is stated to have produced a certified
extract of the Grain Settlement register showing
that the Lands were not in the names of the
Temples, but were in the names of Kubba and
three others - After this Ganegajamma produced
certified extracts of the Grain register which
showed that the lands were confirmed
at the Settlement in the names of deities
and that they were granted to Dofe Servants
for services rendered by them in these
Temples. The difference was referred to
the Grain Lipalle for reconciliation. He
only replied to say that beyond the particulars
already furnished no further information
was available. He Arachchi submitted the
records to the Kachcheri for orders. He observed
that it was evident from the facts disclosed
in the investigation held in respect of these
Dofe Service Grain lands that the daughters
of Subanna viz Nanayakkara and Ganega-
jamma were entitled to continue in possession
and enjoyment of the Dofe Grain in equal proportion

JAN 31 1929

On payment of Govt. rent and cesses to Govt
and directed that the crops under cultivation
be released and distributed in equal proportions
between the 2 sisters (appellant and respondent)
The present appeal is against the above order
Both the appellant and respondent were then respectively
Counsel were heard.

order no 91 Dis 162 of 28-29 Dated 12-1-29

The Deputy Commissioner has assigned valid
reasons for holding that the exclusive right
claimed by the appellant to hold the Inam Service
Inam Cannot be upheld. I see no reason
to interfere. The appeal is rejected.

Sd/ P. G. D'Souza.
Madras Commissioner

Copy applied for 2/4/28
Copy ready 25/4/28
Copy delivered 31/1/29
No pages 648
Copying fee
Examined
Compared by

Copy
D Hemmy
for Govt Counsel

N. BALAKRISHNAIYA, B.A., LL.B.
ADVOCATE



IN THE COURT OF THE Government of Hon Highness the Maharaja of Mysore BANGALORE.

Revenue App No. of 1928 - 1929

Plaintiff
Petitioner
Appellant

Defendant
Opponent
Respondent

..... Vs .....
Tofa Munisamma
Tofa Gangoramma

The Appellant above named do by these presents retain,

MR. N. BALAKRISHNAIYA, B.A.,LL.B.,

ADVOCATE

to appear in the above case and to take all steps necessary in connection therewith and to conduct the same on My our behalf to judgment.

I we further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in My our behalf; to receive from the Court such sums of money as I we may be entitled and grant receipts therefor; to withdraw or compromise the above case and fully represent Me us in all his acts and to appoint if necessary substitutes to him in his absence.

I we also hereby undertake to pay all Fees, costs and such other charges which may be incurred by him and to confirm and ratify all acts done by the said Advocate in so doing.

Known to me and signed in my presence.

× [signature]

1 3 1929.

[signature]
1 3 1929

Accepted.

[signature]

Advocate for Appl.

App. F. G. 9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

9 - 3364 - 08

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No .....

The accompanying Petition Registered No..... dated..... received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from.....

& herewith.

in original with compts is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~ — to the.....

.....for..report with connected records of his own office & of his subordinates early

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,

..... 19.....

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB—3750—8-8-28

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.... ... .ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಡ್ ಮೆಮೊರಾಂ.

.....

....

.....

....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ .....

ಮೊದಲ್ಗೊಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.. ....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

....

.....ರವರ ಖಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

M. C. 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....27th. July..... 1929.

30 JULY 1929
No.....

No. 1761 Ew 37 files
1889

Inwg 364 - 28-3

FROM

P. C. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

1929

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your O.M. No.2389/Muz.364-28-2 dated 27th April 1929 forwarding for report with connected records appeal petition dated 15th April 1929 from Mr. N. Palakrishniah, Advocate, on behalf of Tafa Muniamma, against this office order declining to interfere with the Deputy Commissioner's order in re the distribution of service Inam lands endowed to the temples of Sri Venugopalaswamy and Chandrasekharaswamy at Srinivasapur between her sister and herself I have the honor to forward herewith the connected records received with the Kolar District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.A2-C.2384 of 28-29 dated 22nd July 1929 (copy of which is herein enclosed.)

This office file No.D_1 Dis.162 of 28-29 dated 12th January 1929 containing the order appealed against, the preamble of which gives the facts of the case, is also enclosed for reference and kind return.

The appeal petition together with its enclosures received with your letter under reference is also herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.A2-C.2384/38-39 dated 22nd July 1939 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Mugral Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your Memo No.1701/38-39 dated Nil re: the --- distribution of Tafe inam lands endowed to the temples of Sri Chandrasekara swami and Sri Venugopalaswami at Srinivasapur, I have the honor to forward herewith the file of correspondence ending with the Malur Sub Division -- Officer's No.G1.474/28-30 dated 13th July 1929 together with this office - file No.D_1 Dis. on A2-C.1237 of 27-28 dated 24-1-29 in which the facts of the case have been fully set forth.

2. The appeal petition with its enclosures is enclosed in the file.

"C o p y"

For Mugral Commissioner.

46

Appendix F G–1

SUBJECT ...

Section Survey

File No. 364–28

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

... The Supdt., Arch Survey

Date of issue.....6-3-29.....

Sir,

Signed by .....My T K S.....

I am directed to reque that you will be so kind to send up for reference an extract from the Detailed Inam Register pertaining to the Grant of the Marginally noted Lands, as Inam for Life Service in the temples of Sri Chandra S Swamy and Sri Venu Gopala Swamy

a &
30.68 dry 4-35 assessment
197 wet 1-25 9-8-0
6-0-0

under Srinivasapura Anantapur.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

Swinnerton Dyer, together with a copy of
I have etc. the Statement if any,
filed during the
Inquiry settlement.

DLD

Office of the Superintendent
[illegible],

No. 58 Bilaspur, Bengal Presidency, the [illegible] 1929.

From

P. Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Superintendent,
Revenue Survey & Settlement
[illegible], Bilaspur.

FINANCE
No 3158

26446

To

The Financial Secretary,
to the Government of Bengal,
Bilaspur.

SECRETARIAT
11 SEP 1929
MUZRAI
No 426

Sir,

As desired in your letter No. 230/17.304-30-4 dated 6-8-1929, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands in Srinivaspur village, for life service in the temples of Sri Chandrasekharaswamy and Gopalaswamy, Archaks in Srinivaspur Inam.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Superintendent.

PKK.

Cases Nos 4 + 4 in Sheets Nos 26+46

Srinivaspur village + Shini-

vaspur Anaai Tank

Register of Inams in the village of in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
Shivinapur Village.		Regr Sheet No. 26	As per Jain accounts 1800.	
No. 4	Devadaya	Dry No. 46	K. K. P 0-4-10	3.06
Do -	Amani Tank.	Regr Sheet No. 46.		
			— Do - Acres.	
No. 4	— Do -	Wet No. 392	0-7-8	0.77

Hammat of in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc, or liable to quit-rent, i.e Jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
Fasli 18½ Rs 5-6-1	—	For Taffe Service in the temples entered in Cases Nos 1 to 3. Service rendered.	Free.
Rs 4-5-10.		For -do- — — do — Service rendered	Free

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc, and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonnah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Jari accounts 1800. Tafe Imam Dry 4 coots 5 Seers. 18½ fans Bering	1831 Tafe Imam as entered in col 13 Aval No. Accts 1860 Tafe Imam
	do 1800. Tafe Imam Wet. 7½ coots 15 fans.	do Accts. 1831 ~~Tafe~~ As per patti culars col 13. Aval No. Accts 1860. Tafe Imam

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the names, etc., of each share should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
1. Srinivasbura Gopalaswamy & Chandra- Sekhara Swamy Temples. Subba, Lakshma and others enjoy the Inam and Kudde Tasse Services	〃	〃	〃
Srinivaspur Gopalaswamy & Chandra Sekhara Swamy Temples The Inam is in the enjoyment of Subba, Lakshma and others enjoying who ~~the Inam and~~ Kudde Tasse Service in the said Temples.			

compared [illegible]

〃 copy

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

To be confirmed free of Tax (SD) G. Subba Rao Assistant. 20-6-72

Confirmed free. (SD) R. A. Cole Inam Superintendent 28.12.72

A.

Asli case - -.

As per Jari Account of 1800 Tape Inam

Total extent. — Beriz —

K. c. P. 0-12-2 P. F. V. 3-3-8 = Rs 9-11-11.

Particulars are as follow:-

	Village.	Description of land	Extent.	Beriz.	Jagthy.	Jodi.	Propr. of Exist. Inam.	Sheet No.	Case No.	Remarks.
A.	Srinivaspur	Dry	0-6-10	4-6-1	—	—	—	26	4	Confirmed to original grantee
B	-Do- anam Tank.	Wet	0-7-8	4-5-10	—	—	—	46	4	
			0-12-2	9-11-11						

To be confirmed to the Temples in col. 15 for Tape Service on one T.D. free of Tax. (SD) G. Subba Rao. Assistant. 21-11-73.

To be confirmed free of Tax. (SD) G. Subba Rao Assistant. 26.6.72

Confirmed. R. A. Cole Inam Superintendt. 28.12.72.

This is a Dakkali case. See G. in the Asli case No. 6 of Srinivaspur Village, Sheet No. 26. (SD) G. Subba Rao. Asstt. 21-11-73.

Copy ~~All~~ for 5 enclosures. J. Annamanthacharlu

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9-8-06.

Before the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No. 3 of 1928-30.

Appellant	Vs.	Respondent.
Tafa Puniamma, Srinivasapur Town.		Tafa Gangojamma, Srinivasapur Town.

S u b j e c t .

Appeal against the Muzrai Commissioner's order declining to interfere with the Deputy Commissioner's Order in the matter of the distribution of Tafa Service Inam lands endowed to Sri Chandrasekharaswamy and Sri Venugopalaswamy temples in Srinivasapur Town.

The case was heard on 9th December 1929 respondent Tafa Gangojamma present and appellant was represented by Mr. N. Balakrishniah.

O R D E R .

The appellant and the respondent are sisters. The right of rendering Tafe Service in the temples belonged to their family and the contention that the appellant alone is entitled to the benefit of the right under Government Order No. 1560-71/Muz. 84-05-3 dated 10th April 1909 to the exclusion of her sister is untenable. There are, therefore, no reasons to interfere with the concurrent orders of the Deputy and Muzrai Commissioners and the appeal is rejected.

Memo No. 13184-mus 864-15-6 dated, Bangalore, the 24th December 1929.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore returning the records received with his letter No. 1761 dated 30th July 1929.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

(G)

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Inam Appeal No. 3 of 1929 30.

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent.

Jaga Muniyamma,
Srinivasapura town,

Jaga Gangojamma
Srinivasapura town

Subject.

Appeal against the order declining to interfere with the D.C's order in the matter of the distribution of Jaga Service inam lands endowed to Sri Chandra Sekhara Swamy and Sri Venugopala Swamy temples in Srinivasapura town.

The case was heard on 9-12-29, respdt. Jaga Gangojamma present, and aplt was represented by Mr. B. Balakrishna.

GPB—500—12-6-18

Index

Copy "Members' minutes
in page 5 of the office
Note

Memo

Copy to M.C. Mins 1318 Nov 1 % - L
The memo received with his 3 Recs. 31 12 25
letter no 1761 of 30th ult

B o

11/12
Dft) 2 ½ sheets.

Copies of the above three (1) 1319. 31. 12 25
here and the respe (2) 1320 31 12 25.

B o

Dft) 2½ sheets.